	Case 2:21-cv-00616-KJM-DMC Docume	ent 6 Filed 08/03/21	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT CO	URT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOHN F. WOODY, JR.,	No. 2:21-CV-0616-	KJM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND R	ECOMMENDATIONS
14	STEVEN J. CARPENTER, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983. On April 20, 2021, the Court directed Plaintiff to resolve the fee status for this		
19	case within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to resolve the fee status may result in		
20	dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.		
21	See Local Rule 110. To date, Plaintiff has not complied.		
22	The Court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of dismissal.		
23	See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v. U.S. Postal		
24	Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's interest in		
25	expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3) the risk of		
26	prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits;		
27	and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52,		
28	53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an appropriate		
		1	

Malone,
iate where
th Cir.
y with an
9th Cir.
olve the fee
te.
e dismissed
ders.
s District
14 days
n
ervice of
appeal. See

18 Dated: August 3, 2021

Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE